

# Eden Environmental Citizen's Group, LLC

May 11, 2019

# Via US Mail, Certified

Justin McDaniels Western Colloid, N.C., Inc. dba Western Colloid Product, Inc. 700 71st Avenue Oakland, CA 94621

# Via US Mail

Gary Scholten Agent for service Western Colloid, N.C., Inc. 23401 Madero, Suite C Mission Viejo, CA 92691

Re: 60-Day Notice of Violations and Intent to File Suit Under the Federal Water Pollution Control Act ("Clean Water Act")

To Officers, Directors, Operators, Property Owners and/or Facility Managers of Western Colloid Product:

This letter is being sent to you on behalf of Eden Environmental Citizen's Group, LLC ("EDEN") to give legal notice that EDEN intends to file a civil action against Western Colloid Product, Inc. ("Discharger" or "Western Colloid Product") for violations of the Federal Clean Water Act ("CWA" or "Act") 33 U.S.C. § 1251 et seq., that EDEN believes are occurring at the Western Colloid Product facility located at 700 71st Avenue in Oakland, California ("the Facility" or "the site").

2151 Salvio Street #A2-319 Telephone: 925-732-0960 Website: Concord, CA 94520

Email: <u>edenenvcitizens@gmail.com</u> **edenenvironmental.org**  60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue May 11, 2019 Page 2 of 13

EDEN is an environmental citizen's group established under the laws of the State of California to protect, enhance, and assist in the restoration of all rivers, creeks, streams, wetlands, vernal pools, and tributaries of California, for the benefit of its ecosystems and communities.

EDEN formally registered as a limited liability company (LLC) association with the California Secretary of State on June 22, 2018; however, since at least July 1, 2014, EDEN has existed as an unincorporated environmental citizen's association with members who remain associated with EDEN as of the date of this Notice.

As discussed below, the Facility's discharges of pollutants degrade water quality and harm aquatic life in the Facility's Receiving Waters, which are waters of the United States and described in Section II.B, below. EDEN has members throughout northern California. Some of EDEN's members live, work, and/or recreate near the Receiving Waters and use and enjoy the Receiving Waters for surfing, kayaking, camping, fishing, boating, swimming, hiking, cycling, bird watching, picnicking, viewing wildlife, and/or engaging in scientific study.

At least one of EDEN's current members has standing to bring suit against Western Colloid Product, as the unlawful discharge of pollutants from the Facility as alleged herein has had an adverse effect particular to him or her and has resulted in actual harm to the specific EDEN member(s).

Further, the Facility's discharges of polluted storm water and non-storm water are ongoing and continuous. As a result, the interests of certain individual EDEN members have been, are being, and will continue to be adversely affected by the failure of Western Colloid Product to comply with the General Permit and the Clean Water Act.

CWA section 505(b) requires that sixty (60) days prior to the initiation of a civil action under CWA section 505(a), a citizen must give notice of intent to file suit. 33 U.S.C. § 1365(b). Notice must be given to the alleged violator, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"), and the State in which the violations occur.

As required by CWA section 505(b), this Notice of Violation and Intent to File Suit provides notice to the Discharger of the violations which have occurred and continue to occur at the Facility. After the expiration of sixty (60) days from the date of this Notice of Violation and Intent to File Suit, EDEN intends to file suit in federal court against the Discharger under CWA section 505(a) for the violations described more fully below.

60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue May 11, 2019 Page 3 of 13

# I. THE SPECIFIC STANDARD, LIMITATION, OR ORDER VIOLATED

EDEN's investigation of the Facility has uncovered significant, ongoing, and continuous violations of the CWA and the General Industrial Storm Water Permit issued by the State of California (NPDES General Permit No. CAS000001 [State Water Resources Control Board ("SWRCB")] Water Quality Order No. 92-12-DWQ, as amended by Order No. 97-03-DWQ ("1997 Permit") and by Order No. 2014-0057-DWQ ("2015 Permit") (collectively, the "General Permit").

Information available to EDEN, including documents obtained from California EPA's online Storm Water Multiple Application and Reporting Tracking System ("SMARTS"), indicates that on or around June 3, 2002, Western Colloid Product submitted a Notice of Intent ("NOI") to be authorized to discharge storm water from the Facility. On or around May 28, 2015, Western Colloid Product submitted an NOI to be authorized to discharge storm water from the Facility under the 2015 Permit. Western Colloid Product's assigned Waste Discharger Identification number ("WDID") is 2 011017275.

As more fully described in Section III, below, EDEN alleges that in its operations of the Facility, Western Colloid Product has committed ongoing violations of the substantive and procedural requirements of the Federal Clean Water Act, California Water Code §13377; the General Permit, the Regional Water Board Basin Plan, the California Toxics Rule (CTR) 40 C.F.R. § 131.38, and California Code of Regulations, Title 22, § 64431.

#### II. THE LOCATION OF THE ALLEGED VIOLATIONS

## A. The Facility

The location of the point sources from which the pollutants identified in this Notice are discharged in violation of the CWA is Western Colloid Product's permanent facility address of 700 71st Avenue in Oakland, California.

Western Colloid Product Facility is an establishment engaged in the manufacturing of roofing and paving sealants, coatings, and other pavement maintenance products. Facility operations are covered under Standard Industrial Classification Code (SIC)-2851.

Based on EPA's Industrial Storm water Fact Sheet for Sector C-Chemicals, including Agricultural Chemicals, polluted discharges from operations at the Facility contain pH affecting substances; metals, such as iron and aluminum; total suspended solids ("TSS"); nitrate and nitrite; benzene; gasoline and diesel fuels; fuel additives; coolants; and oil and grease ("O&G"). Many of these pollutants are on the list of chemicals published by the State of California as known to cause cancer, birth defects, and/or developmental or reproductive harm.

60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue May 11, 2019 Page 4 of 13

Information available to EDEN indicates that the Facility's industrial activities and associated materials are exposed to storm water, and that each of the substances listed on the EPA's Industrial Storm Water Fact Sheet is a potential source of pollutants at the Facility.

## B. The Affected Receiving Waters

The Facility discharges into a municipal storm drain system, which then discharges to the Arroyo Viejo Creek, a tributary of the San Francisco Bay ("Receiving Waters").

The San Francisco Bay is a water of the United States. The CWA requires that water bodies such as the San Francisco Bay meet water quality objectives that protect specific "beneficial uses." The Regional Water Board has issued the San Francisco Bay Basin Water Ouality Control Plan ("Basin Plan") to delineate those water quality objectives.

The Basin Plan identifies the "Beneficial Uses" of water bodies in the region. The Beneficial Uses for the Receiving Waters downstream of the Facility include: commercial and sport fishing, estuarine habitat, fish migration, navigation, preservation of rare and endangered species, water contact and noncontact recreation, shellfish harvesting, fish spawning, and wildlife habitat. Contaminated storm water from the Facility adversely affects the water quality of the San Francisco Bay watershed and threatens the beneficial uses and ecosystem of this watershed.

Furthermore, the San Francisco Bay is listed for water quality impairment on the most recent 303(d)-list for the following: chlordane; dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane (DDT); dieldrin; dioxin compounds (including 2,3,7,8- tetrachlorodibenzo-pdioxin); furan compounds; invasive species; mercury; polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs); PCBs (dioxin-like), selenium, and trash.

Polluted storm water and non-storm water discharges from industrial facilities, such as the Facility, contribute to the further degradation of already impaired surface waters, and harm aquatic dependent wildlife.

## III. VIOLATIONS OF THE CLEAN WATER ACT AND GENERAL PERMIT

# A. Deficient/Invalid SWPPP and Site Map

Western Colloid Product's current Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan ("SWPPP") and Site Map for the Facility are both inadequate and fail(s) to comply with the requirements of the General Permit as specified in Section X of Order No. 2014-0057-DWQ, as follows:

(a) The Site Map does not include the minimum required components for Site Maps as indicated in Section X.E of the General Permit. Specifically, the Site Map fails to 60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue May 11, 2019 Page 5 of 13

# include the following:

- 1) the flow direction of each drainage area;
- 2) on-facility surface water bodies;
- 3) areas of soil erosion;
- 4) sample locations if different than the identified discharge locations;
- locations and descriptions of structural control measures that affect industrial storm water discharges, authorized NSWDs and/or run-on;
- identification of all impervious areas of the facility, including paved areas, buildings, covered storage areas or other roofed structures;
- locations where materials are directly exposed to precipitation and the locations where identified significant spills or leaks have occurred;
- 8) all areas of industrial activity subject to the General Permit.
- (b) The SWPPP fails to include an adequate discussion of the Facility's receiving waters (Section XI.B.6(e), Section X.G.2.ix);
- (c) The SWPPP fails to include an appropriate discussion of the Industrial Materials handled at the facility (Section X.F);
- (d) The SWPPP fails to include an adequate description of Potential Pollutant Sources and narrative assessment of all areas of industrial activity with potential industrial pollutant sources, including Industrial Processes, Material Handling and Storage Areas, Dust and Particulate Generating Activities, Significant Spills and Leaks, Non-Storm Water Discharges and Erodible Surfaces (Section X.G.1);
- (e) The SWPPP fails to include a narrative assessment of all areas of industrial activity with potential industrial pollutant sources, including the areas of the facility with likely sources of pollutants in storm water discharges and the pollutants likely to be present (Section X.G.2);

With regard to (c), (d) and (e) above, the Facility lists in several areas of the SWPPP that "dry chemicals" and "raw materials" are stored on-site and utilized in its industrial operations. Section X of the General Permit requires the Facility to specify all potential pollutants stored and utilized in industrial operations with specificity. The Facility's SWPPP fails to specify exactly what "dry chemicals" and "raw materials" are used and stored on-site, in violation of Section X.

Further, the Monitoring Implementation Plan, Section 4.e of the Facility SWPPP indicates that Ammonia is present at the Facility and is being included as an additional applicable industrial parameter related to receiving waters with 303(d) listed impairments. However, ammonia is not discussed as a potential pollutant at the facility due to industrial operations in the appropriate section of the SWPPP.

60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue May 11, 2019 Page 6 of 13

Failure to develop or implement an adequate SWPPP is a violation of Sections II.B.4.f and X of the General Permit.

# B. Failure to Develop, Implement and/or Revise an Adequate Monitoring and Reporting Program Pursuant to the General Permit

Section XI of the General Permit requires Dischargers to develop and implement a storm water monitoring and reporting program ("M&RP") prior to conducting industrial activities. Dischargers have an ongoing obligation to revise the M&RP as necessary to ensure compliance with the General Permit.

The objective of the M&RP is to detect and measure the concentrations of pollutants in a facility's discharge, and to ensure compliance with the General Permit's Discharge Prohibitions, Effluent Limitations, and Receiving Water Limitations. An adequate M&RP ensures that BMPs are effectively reducing and/or eliminating pollutants at the Facility, and it must be evaluated and revised whenever appropriate to ensure compliance with the General Permit.

## 1. Failure to Conduct Visual Observations

Section XI(A) of the General Permit requires all Dischargers to conduct visual observations at least once each month, and sampling observations at the same time sampling occurs at a discharge location.

Observations must document the presence of any floating and suspended material, oil and grease, discolorations, turbidity, odor and the source of any pollutants. Dischargers must document and maintain records of observations, observation dates, locations observed, and responses taken to reduce or prevent pollutants in storm water discharges.

EDEN believes that between July 1, 2015, and the present, Western Colloid Product has failed to conduct monthly and sampling visual observations pursuant to Section XI(A) of the General Permit.

# 2. Failure to Collect and Analyze the Required Number of Storm Water Samples

In addition, EDEN alleges that Western Colloid Product has failed to provide the Regional Water Board with the minimum number of annual documented results of Facility runoff sampling as required under Sections XI.B.2 and XI.B.11.a of Order No. 2014-0057-DWQ, in violation of the General Permit and the CWA.

60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue May 11, 2019 Page 7 of 13

Section XI.B.2 of the General Permit requires that all Dischargers collect and analyze storm water samples from two Qualifying Storm Events ("QSEs") within the first half of each reporting year (July 1 to December 31), and two (2) QSEs within the second half of each reporting year (January 1 to June 30).

As of the date of this Notice, Western Colloid Product has failed to upload into the SMARTS database system *any* storm water sample analyses for samples collected during the reporting years 2015-16, 2016-17, 2017-18 and 2018-19 to date.

## C. Falsification of Annual Reports Submitted to the Regional Water Board

Section XXI.L of the General Permit provides as follows:

#### L. Certification

Any person signing, certifying, and submitting documents under Section XXI.K above shall make the following certification:

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all Attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, to the best of my knowledge and belief, the information submitted is, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Further, Section XXI.N of the General Permit provides as follows:

## N. Penalties for Falsification of Reports

Clean Water Act section 309(c)(4) provides that any person that knowingly makes any false material statement, representation, or certification in any record or other document submitted or required to be maintained under this General Permit, including reports of compliance or noncompliance shall upon conviction, be punished by a fine of not more than \$10,000 or by imprisonment for not more than two years or by both.

On June 26, 2017 and June 18, 2018, Western Colloid Product submitted its Annual Reports for the Fiscal Years 2015-16, 2016-17, and 2017-18. Mr. Justin McDaniels signed the Reports under penalty of law. Mr. McDaniels is the current Legally Responsible Person ("LRP") for Western Colloid Product.

60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue May 11, 2019 Page 8 of 13

The Annual Reports included Attachment 1 as an explanation for why Western Colloid Product failed to sample the required number of Qualifying Storm Events during the reporting year for all discharge locations, in accordance with Section XI.B. Mr. McDaniels certified in the Reports, under penalty of perjury, that the required number of samples were not collected by the Facility because allegedly there were insufficient qualifying storm water discharges during the reporting years and scheduled facility operating hours.

However, records from the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) website/database confirm that during the reporting years in question there were in fact sufficient Qualified Storm Events (QSEs) occurring near the Facility during or within 12 hours of the start of regular business hours to allow Western Colloid Product to collect the requisite number of samples.

# D. Late-Filed Annual Report/Failure to File Annual Reports

Western Colloid Product has failed to comply with Section XVI.A of the General Permit, which provides as follows: "The Discharger shall certify and submit via SMARTS an Annual Report no later than July 15th following each reporting year using the standardized format and checklists in SMARTS."

Western Colloid Product's Annual Report for the reporting year 2015-16 was due on or before July 15, 2016. However, the Facility failed to file the Annual Report until June 18, 2018

# E. Deficient BMP Implementation

Sections I.C, V.A and X.C.1.b of the General Permit require Dischargers to identify and implement minimum and advanced Best Management Practices ("BMPs") that comply with the Best Available Technology ("BAT") and Best Conventional Pollutant Control Technology ("BCT") requirements of the General Permit to reduce or prevent discharges of pollutants in their storm water discharge in a manner that reflects best industry practice, considering technological availability and economic practicability and achievability.

EDEN alleges that Western Colloid Product has been conducting industrial activities at the site without adequate BMPs to prevent resulting non-storm water discharges. Non-storm water discharges resulting from these activities are not from sources that are listed among the authorized non-storm water discharges in the General Permit, and thus are always prohibited.

Western Colloid Product's failure to develop and/or implement adequate BMPs and pollution controls to meet BAT and BCT at the Facility violates and will continue to violate the CWA and the Industrial General Permit each day the Facility discharges storm water without meeting BAT and BCT.

60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue May 11, 2019 Page 9 of 13

# F. Discharges in Violation of the General Permit

Except as authorized by Special Conditions of the General Permit, Discharge Prohibition III(B) prohibits permittees from discharging materials other than storm water (non-storm water discharges) either directly or indirectly to waters of the United States. Unauthorized non-storm water discharges must be either eliminated or permitted by a separate NPDES permit.

Information available to EDEN indicates that unauthorized non-storm water discharges occur at the Facility due to inadequate BMP development and/or implementation necessary to prevent these discharges.

EDEN hereby puts the Discharger on notice that each time the Facility discharges prohibited non-storm water in violation of Discharge Prohibition III.B of the General Permit is a separate and distinct violation of the General Permit and Section 301(a) of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1311(a).

# 1. Discharges in Excess of Technology-Based Effluent Limitations

The Industrial General Permit includes technology-based effluent limitations, which prohibit the discharge of pollutants from the Facility in concentrations above the level commensurate with the application of best available technology economically achievable ("BAT") for toxic pollutants and best conventional pollutant control technology ("BCT") for conventional pollutants. (General Permit, Section X.H.)

The EPA has published Benchmark values set at the maximum pollutant concentration levels present if an industrial facility is employing BAT and BCT, as listed in Table 2 of the General Permit. The General Permit includes "Numeric Action Levels" ("NALs") derived from these Benchmark values; however, the NALs do not represent technology-based criteria relevant to determining whether an industrial facility has implemented BMPs that achieve BAT/BCT. (General Permit, Section I.M. (Finding 62)).

Western Colloid's exceedances of Benchmark values identified in the table listed below, indicate that it has failed and is failing to employ measures that constitute BAT and BCT, in violation of the requirements of the Industrial General Permit.

These allegations are based on the Facility's self-reported data submitted to the Regional Water Board. Self-monitoring reports under the Permit are deemed "conclusive evidence of an exceedance of a permit limitation." Sierra Club v. Union Oil, 813 F 2d 1480, 1492 (9th Cir. 1988).

Western Colloid's ongoing discharges of storm water containing levels of pollutants above EPA Benchmark values and BAT- and BCT-based levels of control also demonstrate that it has not developed and implemented sufficient BMPs at the Facility. EPA Benchmarks are relevant to the inquiry as to whether a facility has implemented BMPs. [Cal. Sportfishing Prot.]

60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue May 11, 2019 Page 10 of 13

Alliance v. River City Waste Recyclers, LLC (E.D.Cal. 2016) 205 F.Supp.3d 1128; Baykeeper v. Kramer Metals, Inc. (C.D.Cal. 2009) 619 F.Supp.2d 914, 925; Waterkeepers Northern California v. AG Industrial Mfg. Inc. (9th Cir. 2004) 375 F.3d 913, 919 (concentration levels in excess of EPA benchmarks are evidence supporting the citizen plaintiff's contention that defendant did not have appropriate BMPs to achieve BAT/BCT).]

Western Colloid's failure to develop and/or implement adequate BMPs and pollution controls to meet BAT and BCT at the Facility violates and will continue to violate the CWA and the Industrial General Permit each day the Facility discharges storm water without meeting BAT and BCT.

# 2. Discharges in Excess of Receiving Water Limitations

In addition to employing technology based effluent limitations, the Industrial General Permit requires dischargers to comply with Receiving Water Limitations. Receiving Water Limitations found in Section VI(B) of the General Permit prohibit storm water discharges and authorized non-storm water discharges to surface water that adversely impact human health or the environment.

Discharges that contain pollutants in concentrations that exceed levels known to adversely impact aquatic species and the environment also constitute violations of the General Permit Receiving Water Limitation.

Applicable Water Quality Standards ("WQS") are set forth in the California Toxics Rule ("CTR") and the Regional Basin Plan. Exceedances of WQS are violations of the Industrial General Permit, the CTR, and the Basin Plan. Industrial storm water discharges must strictly comply with WQS, including those criteria listed in the applicable Basin Plan. (See *Defenders of Wildlife v. Browner*, 191 F.3d 1159, 1166-67 (9th Cir. 1999).)

The Basin Plan establishes WQS for the San Francisco Bay and its tributaries, including but not limited to the following:

- Waters shall not contain substances in concentrations that result in the deposition of material that cause nuisance or adversely affect beneficial uses.
- Waters shall not contain suspended material in concentrations that cause nuisance or adversely affect beneficial uses.
- Waters shall be free of changes in turbidity that cause nuisance or adversely affect beneficial uses.
- All waters shall be maintained free of toxic substances in concentrations that are lethal
  to or that produce other detrimental responses in aquatic organisms.

60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue May 11, 2019 Page 11 of 13

 Surface waters shall not contain concentrations of chemical constituents in amounts that adversely affect any designated beneficial use.

Information available to EDEN indicates that the Facility's storm water discharges contain elevated concentrations of specific pollutants, as listed below. These polluted discharges can be acutely toxic and/or have sub-lethal impacts on the avian and aquatic wildlife in the Receiving Waters. Discharges of elevated concentrations of pollutants in the storm water from the Facility also adversely impact human health. These harmful discharges from the Facility are violations of the General Permit Receiving Water Limitation.

The following discharges of pollutants from the Facility have violated Discharge Prohibitions and Receiving Water Limitations of the General Permit and are evidence of ongoing violations of Effluent Limitations:

Sample Collection Date/ Sample Outfall location	Parameter	Unit	Sample Analysis Result	EPA Benchmark NAL	EPA Benchmark NAL instantaneous Value	BASIN PLAN/CCR T22 Benchmark NAL value
3/25/14 1A	pН	S.U.	5.8	6-9	N/A	6.5-8.5
3/25/14	рН	S.U.	5.340	6-9	N/A	6.5-8.5

# G. Failure to Comply with Facility SWPPP

Section 6 "Monitoring Implementation Plan" of the Facility SWPPP indicates that the Facility will collect and analyze storm water samples from two qualified storm events within the first half of each reporting year (July 1 to December 31) and two QSEs within the second half of each reporting year (January 1 to June 30).

As detailed above, the Facility missed collecting storm water samples in the reporting years 2015-16, 2016-17 and 2017-18, and 2018-19.

Western Colloid Product may have had other violations that can only be fully identified and documented once discovery and investigation have been completed. Hence, to the extent possible, EDEN includes such violations in this Notice and reserves the right to amend this Notice, if necessary, to include such further violations in future legal proceedings.

The violations discussed herein are derived from eye witness reports and records publicly available. These violations are continuing.

60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue May 11, 2019 Page 12 of 13

# IV. THE PERSON OR PERSONS RESPONSIBLE FOR THE VIOLATIONS

The entities responsible for the alleged violations are Western Colloid Product, as well as employees of the Facility responsible for compliance with the CWA.

# V. THE DATE, DATES, OR REASONABLE RANGE OF DATES OF THE VIOLATIONS

The range of dates covered by this 60-day Notice is from at least July 1, 2014, to the date of this Notice. EDEN may from time to time update this Notice to include all violations which may occur after the range of dates covered by this Notice. Some of the violations are continuous in nature; therefore, each day constitutes a violation.

## VI. CONTACT INFORMATION

The entity giving this 60-day Notice is Eden Environmental Citizen's Group ("EDEN").

Aiden Sanchez

EDEN ENVIRONMENTAL CITIZEN'S GROUP

2151 Salvio Street #A2-319

Concord, CA 94520

Telephone: (925) 732-0960

Email: <u>Edenenvcitizens@gmail.com</u> (emailed correspondence is preferred)

Website: edenenvironmental.org

To ensure proper response to this Notice, all communications should be addressed to EDEN's General Counsel. Hans W. Herb.

HANS W. HERB Law Offices of Hans W. Herb P.O. Box 970 Santa Rosa, CA 95402

Telephone: (707) 576-0757

Email: hans@tankman.com

## VII. RELIEF SOUGHT FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE CLEAN WATER ACT

CWA §§ 505(a)(1) and 505(f) provide for citizen enforcement actions against any "person," including individuals, corporations, or partnerships, for violations of NPDES permit requirements and for un-permitted discharges of pollutants. 33 U.S.C. §§ 1365(a)(1) and (f), §1362(5).

60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue May 11, 2019 Page 13 of 13

Pursuant to Section 309(d) of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(d), and the Adjustment of Civil Monetary Penalties for Inflation, 40 C.F.R. § 19.4, each separate violation of the Clean Water Act subjects the violator to a penalty for all violations occurring during the period commencing five (5) years prior to the date of the Notice Letter. These provisions of law authorize civil penalties of \$37,500.00 per day per violation for all Clean Water Act violations after January 12, 2009, and \$51,570.00 per day per violation for violations that occurred after November 2, 2015.

In addition to civil penalties, EDEN will seek injunctive relief preventing further violations of the Clean Water Act pursuant to Sections 505(a) and (d), 33 U.S.C. § 1365(a) and (d), declaratory relief, and such other relief as permitted by law. Lastly, pursuant to Section 505(d) of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1365(d), EDEN will seek to recover its litigation costs, including attorneys' and experts' fees.

# VIII. CONCLUSION

The CWA specifically provides a 60-day notice period to promote resolution of disputes. EDEN encourages Western Colloid Product's counsel to contact **EDEN's counsel** within 20 days of receipt of this Notice to initiate a discussion regarding the violations detailed herein. Please do not contact EDEN directly.

During the 60-day notice period, EDEN is willing to discuss effective remedies for the violations; however, if Western Colloid Product wishes to pursue such discussions in the absence of litigation, it is suggested those discussions be initiated soon so that they may be completed before the end of the 60-day notice period. EDEN reserves the right to file a lawsuit if discussions are continuing when the notice period ends.

Very truly yours,

AIDEN SANCHEZ

Eden Environmental Citizen's Group

Copies to:

Administrator, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Executive Director, State Water Resources Control Board Regional Administrator, U.S. EPA – Region 9